

REMARKS

In the Office Action, made final, the Examiner objected to the specification for an inadequate brief description of the drawings, objected to claim 4 for lacking a preposition, objected to claims 6-9 for depending on a claim that was objected to, and allowed claims 10 and 13-17. Claims 4, 6-10, and 13-17 remain in the application.

The objection to the specification was because there was not a separate brief description for each FIG. In this regard, applicant has amended the specification to include a separate description of each FIG.

The objection to claim 4 was for not having a "to" in the phrase "opposite to the first side." Applicant has amended claim 4 to include this "to" as requested by the Examiner. Thus, claim is in the condition indicated as being allowable. Now claims 6-9 are dependent on an allowable claim. Thus, only allowed or allowable claims remain in the applications.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Applicant believes the application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully solicited. Please contact the below-signed if there are any issues regarding this communication or otherwise concerning the current application.

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

Respectfully submitted,

By: James L. Clingan, Jr.
James L. Clingan, Jr.
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 30,163
Telephone: (512) 996-6839
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854
Email: Jim.Clingan@Freescale.com